

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandrin, Virginia 22313-1450 Www.uspie.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/847,883	05/03/2001	David Allen Roberts	05918P2 USA	5807
23543	7590 12/18/2003		EXAMINER	
AIR PRODU	CTS AND CHEMICAL	BOYD, JENNIFER A		
PATENT DEP			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	N, PA 181951501		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

n care			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/847,883	ROBERTS ET AL.	4/2
Autiony Aution	Examiner	Art Unit	100
	Jennifer A Boyd	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application appl	cation. A proper repict places the application of the contract	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(t). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The de have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP s extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. \boxtimes The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for application in condition for allowance because: \underline{s}		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 4-11,13-20,22 and 23.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:			
Jemp Boyd			

Continuation Sheet (FTCL-303) 09/847,883

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's Arguments are not persuasive. The Examiner maintains all previously set forth rejections as detailed in paragraphs 3 - 7 of the last Office Action dated 10/22/03. The Applicant's comments and arguments regarding the scope of the "consisting essentially of" language cannot take the place of evidence. Additionally, the 37 CFR 1.132 Declaration is considered untimely.

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700